	ated by Chris Maher and Larry Berman	
Chris Maher	Larry Berman	
PO Box 5, Lambertville, MI, 48144 734-856-8882 800-238-2597	PO Box 265, Russellton, PA 15076 412-767-8644 800-350-9289	

Total Respondents	183
(skipped this question)	2

2. Contact Person	
Total Respondents	183
(skipped this question)	2

3. Address	
Total Respondents	181
(skipped this question)	4

4. T	elephone	
	Total Respondents	176
	(skipped this question)	9

5. E-mail Address	
Total Respondents	178
(skipped this question)	7

		Response Percent	Response Total
Slide projectors		77.1%	138
Light table		3.4%	6
Photographic prints	-	7.8%	14
Hold up to a light source	1	2.2%	4
Other (please specify)	-	9.5%	17
	Total Res	pondents	179
	(skipped this o	question)	6

7. Approximately how many artists apply to your show each year?			
	Range of 50 to 3000 with an average of 537	Total Respondents	180
		(skipped this question)	5

8. How many slides do you require artists to submit?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
3		11.2%	20
4		38.5%	69
5		35.2%	63
6		5%	9
Other (please specify)		10.1%	18
	Total Res	pondents	179
	(skipped this	question)	6

9. How many years have you followed your current jury procedure?	
Total Responde	nts 175
(skipped this questi	on) 10

10. How many jurors do you usu	ally have in your artist selection process?		
		Response Percent	Response Total
1	1	1.1%	2
2		6.7%	12
3		24.4%	44
4		15.6%	28
5		25.6%	46
Other (please specify)	Ranged to a maximum of 30 jurors	26.7%	48
	Total Res	spondents	180
	(skipped this	question)	5

11. Would you be interested in a	system that easily allowed you to have a much larger group of jurors evaluate your applicant's	work?
	Response Percent	•
Yes - if our cost and ease of evaluating the results were improved	37.4%	65
No -we have the ideal number of jurors now	62.6%	109
	Total Respondents	174
	(skipped this question)	10

12. Are jurors allowed to communicate with each other during the jury process?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
No, we jury silently		9%	16
Yes, questions are allowed		44.9%	80
Yes, we encourage discussion between jurors		46.1%	82
	Total Res	spondents	178
(skipped this question)		7	

13. Is there an artist advisor avai	lable for questions during jurying?		
		Response Percent	Response Total
Yes		76.5%	137
No		23.5%	42
Total Respondents		pondents	179
(skipped this question)		6	

	Response Percent	e Response Total
No, they are shown only once	4.4%	8
Yes, we do more than one showing	41.7%	75
Yes, we return to the ones that the jurors want to reexamine	43.9%	79
Other (please specify)	10%	18
	Total Respondents	180
	(skipped this question)	5

http://bermangraphics.com/artshows/surveyresults.htm (4 of 14)7/31/2004 3:22:09 PM

15. Are the images for each artist shown simultaneously or one image at a time?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Shown together using multiple projectors		61.3%	106
Shown one image at a time using one projector		38.7%	67
Total Respondents		173	
(skipped this question)		10	

. How long does the average set of images stay in front of jurors for evaluation?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
10 seconds		8.4%	15
15 seconds		12.3%	22
20 seconds		20.1%	36
25 seconds		6.7%	12
30 seconds		21.2%	38
Other (please specify)	As long as needed	31.3%	56
	Total	Respondents	179
	(skipped t	his question)	6

17. Is a slide description stateme	ent read to the jurors?		
		Response Percent	Response Total
Yes		60.1 %	107
No		39.9%	71
	Total Res	spondents	178
	(skipped this	question)	5

	Response Percent	Response Total
Assign a single overall numeric score	60.3%	108
Judged with a simple yes or no	23.5%	42
Give several numeric scores based on multiple criteria (for example, creativity, technique, and presentation)	16.2%	29
	Total Respondents	179
(skipped this question)		6

19. Are the different criteria used weighted separately?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
No, all are counted equally in the score		69%	20
Yes, each criteria contributes a different value to the final score		31%	9
	Total Res	spondents	29
(skipped this question)		156	

20. Do you require a booth slide?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Yes		78 %	138
No		22%	39
Total Respondents		spondents	177
	(skipped this	question)	8

21. Is the booth slide given a sep	parate score?		
		Response Percent	Response Total
Yes, it is evaluated as a separate part of the score		17.6%	26
No, it is part of the overall evaluation		82.4%	122
Total Respondents		148	
(skipped this question)		34	

	Response Percent	Response Total
Don't know anything about them	26.6%	47
We have heard the term "digital jury"	19.2%	34
We understand the concept	18.6%	33
We are very aware of the potential	22.6%	40
We are working on a digital jury system now	13%	23

http://bermangraphics.com/artshows/surveyresults.htm (7 of 14)7/31/2004 3:22:09 PM

Total Respondents		177
(skipped this q	uestion)	8

23. Which, if any, of the following digital jury systems have you heard about? Choose all that apply			
		Response Percent	Response Total
The Smithsonian Craft Show		24.8%	41
Advanced Jurying Systems		1.2%	2
Westaf		22.4%	37
ArtShowJury.com		7.3%	12
None of the above		61.8 %	102
Total Respondents		165	
	(skipped this	question)	20

	Response Percent	Response Total
We have no interest in changing the system we now use	14.9%	26
We might be interested in a digital jury system if costs were acceptable and benefits were substantial	66.9%	117
We are very interested in using digital technology to improve our jury system	18.3%	32
	Total Respondents	175
	(skipped this question)	10

	Response Percent	Response Total
We don't see the advantages to it	11.5%	3
We don't have a budget to spend on it	38.5%	10
Our people would not be comfortable with the technology	7.7%	2
What we have works, why change?	19.2%	5
Other (please specify)	53.8 %	14
	Total Respondents	26
	(skipped this question)	159

26. In general, how knowledgeat	ble are you about digital jury systems?	
	Respons Percen	
No real knowledge	44.1%	63
Some understanding	33.6%	48
Knowledgeable, but still learning	19.6%	28
Expert	2.8%	4
	Total Respondent	s 143
	(skipped this question) 42

27. What do you see as the primary benefit of a digital jury system? Choose all that apply			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Easier to show images than with slide projectors		43.7%	62
Easier to collect and process the jury results than a manual process		46.5 %	66
Lower costs than a traditional slide jury		12%	17
Not sure		39.4%	56
Other (please specify)		20.4%	29
	Total Res	spondents	142
	(skipped this	question)	43

	Response Percent	Response Total
Images viewed on a computer monitor	29.5%	36
Digital projection using a resolution dependent system	22.1%	27
Digital projection using a PowerPoint type program	48.4%	59
	Total Respondents	122
	(skipped this question)	62

	Response Percent	Response Total
Potential exhibitors will need to create and submit digital files of their work	70%	98
Dealing with computers to collect and manage the data	27.1%	38
Encouraging artists to use the system	64.3%	90
Higher cost and effort than what we do now	32.1%	45
Not sure	18.6%	26
Other (please specify)	15%	21
	Total Respondents	140
	(skipped this question)	45

30. If your plans for digital jury systems allow artists to submit standard slides as they always have done. Who should be responsible for scanning them for the jury?

	Response Percent	Response Total
Our show	33.6%	41
A third party that specialized in scanning slides	15.6%	19
Scanning services should be part of the digital jury system provided to the show.	50.8%	62
	Total Respondents	122
	(skipped this question)	63

31. If using monitor viewing, would you consider allowing jurors to judge the applicant's work from their homes, using the Internet as part of the digital jury system?

		Response Percent	Response Total
Not sure		28.8%	40
Yes, if it meant lower costs and higher quality of jurors	_	18.7%	26
No, we would want the jury to meet together no matter what process they use to view the images.		52.5%	73
	Total Res	pondents	139
	(skipped this	question)	46

32. A digital jury system could allow each juror to view images at his or her own pace. Would you see this as an advantage?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Yes - it might improve their judgements		39.8%	53
No - we would want all the jurors to view images together		60.2 %	80
	Total Resp	ondents	133
	(skipped this q	uestion)	52

	Response Percent	Response Total
Yes - the colors and quality might suffer	24.1%	33
No - a well-calibrated system should be superior to a projected slide	65.7%	90
Other (please specify)	10.2%	14
	Total Respondents	137
	(skipped this question)	48

34. One of the strong advantages of a digital jury process is its ability to fine-tune the evaluations that the jurors made. For example, a show could immediately see how the jury results would change if they changed the value of the booth slide from 5% of the score to 15%, or the change the relative importance of technique or creativity scores. Would you be interested in a system that would allow you to easily vary the weighting of multiple criteria after the jury had concluded?

	Response Percent	Response Total
Yes - if we could see the change it would make visually to our show		62
No - the relative weights of different criteria should remain unchanged	51.6%	66
	Total Respondents	128
	(skipped this question)	57

35. We're interested in any other comments you might have concerning a digital jury system or your current jury system as it's used now. Feel free to provide as much information as possible.

Total Res	pondents	66
(skipped this	question)	119

Web site content © Larry Berman, Chris Maher

Chris Maher

Larry Berman

PO Box 5, Lambertville, MI, 48144 734-856-8882 800-238-2597 PO Box 265, Russellton, PA 15076 412-767-8644 800-350-9289